

CONCLUSION

The above amendments cancel claims held unpatentable and place into independent form, those claims whose rejections were reversed on appeal. One basis for proper antecedent reference between claims 1 (Canceled) and claim 13, allowed, was made by adding antecedent basis to a linking group, as was already present in other allowed claims, but not in claim 1, from which claim 13 depended


Applicants believe the claims are in condition for allowance and request reconsideration of the application and allowance of the claims. The Examiner is invited to telephone the below-signed attorney at 952-832-9090 to discuss any questions that may remain with respect to the present application.

Respectfully submitted,
MARK D. SCOTT, et al.

By Their Representatives,
MARK A. LITMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
York Business Center, Suite 205
3209 West 76th Street
Edina, MN 55435
(952)832.9090


Date 19 OCTOBER 2010

By


Mark A. Litman
Reg. No. 26,390

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent by facsimile transmission or deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Box: AMENDMENT, P.O. BOX 1450; Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 19 October 2010.

Name: Mark A. Litman


Signature